Additional file 1

Table S1. Distribution of OHIP-14 questionnaire

Descriptive of each guestion	Total LDS (N = 33)	
Descriptive of each question	% subject yes (N)	
OHIP 1 - Had trouble pronouncing words	36.36 (12)	
OHIP 2 - Felt sense of taste has worsened	30.30 (12)	
OHIP 3 - Had painful aching in the mouth	E7 E0 (10)	
OHIP 4 - Found it uncomfortable to eat any foods	57.58 (19)	
OHIP 5 - Have been self-conscious	51.52 (17)	
OHIP 6 - Felt tense	31.32 (17)	
OHIP 7 - Had an unsatisfactory diet	20.20 (10)	
OHIP 8 - Had to interrupt meals	30.30 (10)	
OHIP 9 - Found it difficult to relax	42.42 (14)	
OHIP 10 - Have been a bit embarrassed		
OHIP 11 - Have been irritable with other people	24 24 (7)	
OHIP 12 - Had difficulty doing usual jobs	21.21 (7)	
OHIP 13 - Felt life in general was less satisfying	12.12 (4)	
OHIP 14 - Have been totally unable to function		
	OHIP 2 - Felt sense of taste has worsened OHIP 3 - Had painful aching in the mouth OHIP 4 - Found it uncomfortable to eat any foods OHIP 5 - Have been self-conscious OHIP 6 - Felt tense OHIP 7 - Had an unsatisfactory diet OHIP 8 - Had to interrupt meals OHIP 9 - Found it difficult to relax OHIP 10 - Have been a bit embarrassed OHIP 11 - Have been irritable with other people OHIP 12 - Had difficulty doing usual jobs OHIP 13 - Felt life in general was less satisfying	

Table S2: Comparison of OHIP-14 health subdomains between three different age groups in the LDS cohort

	Age				
Dimension	Childhood	Adolescence	Adult	D violes	
	(- P- value			
Functional Limitation	1.56 ± 1.6	0.58 ± 1.2	0.58 ± 0.9	0.14	
Pain	1.22 ± 1.5	1.75 ± 1.5	1.25 ± 1.6	0.66	
Discomfort	0.33 ± 0.7	1.75 ± 1.8	1.58 ± 1.6	0.09	
Physical Disability	0.77 ± 1.6	0.42 ± 0.9	1.5 ± 1.9	0.23	
Psychological Disability	0.67 ± 1.4	0.92 ± 1.1	1.17 ± 1.1	0.64	
Social Disability	0.78 ± 0.9	0.33 ± 0.8	0.75 ± 1.2	0.57	
Handicap	0.22 ± 0.7	0.08 ± 0.3	0.58 ± 1.4	0.43	

Childhood: includes subjects whose age was 11 years old (y.o.) or less

Adolescence: includes subjects whose age was greater than 11 y.o. and less than 18 y.o.

Adult: includes subjects whose age was greater than 18 y.o.

Statistical analyses: ANOVA test was employed.

Table S3: Comparison of OHIP-14 health subdomains between males and females in LDS cohort

	Gender			
Dimension	Female	Male	- P- value	
	OHIP-14 Mean ± SD			
Functional Limitation	0.53 ± 1.0	1.18 ± 1.4	0.13	
Pain	1.35 ± 1.6	1.50 ± 1.5	0.79	
Discomfort	1.41 ± 1.5	1.12 ± 1.7	0.61	
Physical Disability	1.00 ± 1.7	0.81 ± 1.5	0.73	
Psychological Disability	0.88 ± 0.9	1.13 ± 1.7	0.61	
Social Disability	0.59 ± 1.1	0.44 ± 0.8	0.66	
Handicap	0.47 ± 1.3	0.13 ± 0.3	0.30	

Statistical analyses: Mann-Whitney U test was employed.

Table S4: Comparison of OHIP-14 health subdomains between types of LDS mutations

	Type of LDS Mutations				
Dimension	LDS1	LDS2	LDS3-5		
·	OH	P- value			
Functional Limitation	1.13 ± 1.5	0.58 ± 1.0	0.67 ± 1.2	0.50	
Pain	1.27 ± 1.4	1.42 ± 1.6	1.83 ± 1.8	0.75	
Discomfort	1.40 ± 1.6	1.17 ± 1.7	2.00 ± 2.3	0.65	
Physical Disability	0.67 ± 1.4	1.17 ± 1.9	1.00 ± 1.3	0.71	
Psychological Disability	1.20 ± 1.6	0.83 ± 1.1	0.83 ± 1.0	0.74	
Social Disability	0.40 ± 0.8	0.83 ± 1.3	0.17 ± 0.4	0.33	
Handicap	0.07 ± 0.3	0.67 ± 0.1.5	0.17 ± 0.4	0.25	

LDS1: LDS patients with TGFBR1 mutation

LDS2: LDS patients with TGFBR2 mutation

LDS3-5: LDS patients with either SMAD3, TGFB2, or TGFB3 mutation

Statistical analyses: ANOVA test was employed.

Table S5: Frequency of oral manifestations for each age group in the LDS cohort

		Age	
Oral manifestations	Childhood (N = 10)	Adolescence (N = 12)	Adult (N = 11)
	•	% (N)	
Malocclusion	90.0% (9)	100.0% (12)	100.0% (11)
Abnormal soft and hard palate	40.0% (4)	50.0% (6)	81.8% (9)
Gingivitis	30.0% (3)	83.3% (10)	63.6% (7)
Hypersensitivity	90.0% (9)	100.0% (12)	72.7% (8)
TMJ abnormality	20.0% (2)	33.3% (4)	72.7% (8)
Self-rated poor-to-fair oral health status	20.0% (2)	33.3% (4)	45.5% (S)
Cumulation of oral manifestations (≥ 4)	20.0% (2)	75.0% (9)	81.8% (9)

Table S6: Influence of food allergy on OHIP-14 (OHRQoL) in LDS patients

Manialala a		0/ />	OHIP-14	5 1
Variables		% (n)	Mean ± SD	– <i>P</i> -value
Food Allergy				0.68
	Yes	30.3 % (10)	5.60 ± 1.7	
	No	69.7% (23)	6.61 ± 1.4	

Statistical analyses: Mann-Whitney U test was employed.